

For example,

$$|p^3_{20}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [|p^2(3p), p; 20\rangle - |p^2(10), p; 20\rangle]$$

$$|p^3_{2P}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{18}} [2 |p^2(15), p; 2P\rangle - 3 |p^2(3p), p; 2P\rangle - \sqrt{5} |p^2(10), p; 2P\rangle]$$

$$|p^3_{45}\rangle = |p^2(3p), p; 45\rangle$$

Each term by itself is not an eigenstate, but the linear combinations are an eigenstate.

The idea can be generalized to no moving more than one particle at a time.

2-particle c.f.p.

- consider the functions

$$|p^{x-2}(x, s, l_1), p^2(s_2, l_2); s, l\rangle \quad \text{not an eigenstate}$$

The state $|p^x \alpha s, l\rangle$ is then

$$|p^x \alpha s, l\rangle = \sum_{x, s, l_1} |p^{x-2}(x, s, l_1), p^2(s_2, l_2); s, l\rangle \langle p^{x-2}(x, s, l_1), p^2(s_2, l_2) | p^x \alpha s, l \rangle$$

It is interesting that the above reduction is not always possible.

Generality Question No.

- A new quantum no. ν = seniority
in light of the restriction on states to $s_2=0, l_2=0$.
Then $s_1=5, l_1=6$ and

$$\langle 75; (s_1)_2, r'(75^1 x)_{2-x} r | \sum_{x=0}^{12} = \langle 75^1 x r |$$

$$\langle \gamma S^z \rangle_x \{ | \gamma S^z \rangle, (S^z)_z \gamma, (\gamma S^z)_z \dots \rangle_x$$

The above is possible for some states, but not all. It is often not possible to form a state with given λ in more than 54 out of the conjugation λ^{21-2} .

- 2 limitation: if a state $1x^xax$ can be for meet from a state $1x^xax$ according to

$$\langle 75^{\circ} (s_1)_{\alpha-x} \gamma (75^{\circ})_{\alpha} \gamma | \sum = \langle 75^{\circ} \gamma \gamma |$$

$$\langle 75^x \rangle \times \gamma \{ | 75^x (s_1) \rangle_{x-\gamma} \gamma (75^x) \}_{x+\gamma} \gamma \rangle x$$

then the amount of the state is 2.

p_5	$v = 1$	$2p$
p_4	$v = 0$	$1s$
p_3	$v = 1$	$2p$
p_2	$v = 0$	$1s$
p_1	$v = 1$	$2p$

d: 2 D

d₂: 1 S 3 P 1 D 3 F 1 G

d₃: 2 P 4 P 2 D 2 F 4 F 2 G 2 H

$$|d^3 \ 2D_1\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{60}} \left\{ 4 |d^2(1S_0) d^2D\rangle - 3 |d^2(3P) d^2D\rangle \right.$$

$$- 3 |d^2(1G) d^2D\rangle \left. \right\}$$

$$|d^3 \ 2D_3\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{140}} \left\{ -7 |d^2(3P) d^2D\rangle \right.$$

$$+ \sqrt{45} |d^2(1D) d^2D\rangle + \sqrt{21} |d^2(3F) d^2D\rangle - 5 |d^2(1G) d^2D\rangle$$

For d^x configurations, the set of quantum numbers 2S⁺ specifies the state completely. Racah (Phys. Rev. 76, 1352 (1949)) introduced the symmetry operator (in also called "operator changing in atomic spectroscopy").

- Racah showed that

$$\langle d^{x-1}(\alpha'v'S'L'), d:5L | \{ d^x \alpha vSL \rangle$$

$$= \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \left[\frac{(4x+4-x-v)v}{4x(2x+2-v)} \right]^{1/2} \langle d^v(\alpha'v'S'L'), d:5L | \{ d^x \alpha vSL \rangle \\ & \left[\frac{(x-v)(v+2)}{4x} \right]^{1/2} \langle d^v(\alpha'v'S'L'), d:5L | \{ d^x \alpha vSL \rangle \end{aligned} \right.$$

otherwise.

ii. for a state $|x^x \alpha \nu s l\rangle$, only those parent states $|x'' \alpha'' \nu'' s'' l''\rangle$ can contribute in the fractional parentage expansion.

Selection Rule

The matrix element of a sum of one-electron operators $F = \sum_i f(i)$ between initial and final states have common parent states. There can only happen if $\Delta \nu = 0, \pm 1$.

$$\langle x^x \alpha \nu s l M_s M_L | F | x'' \alpha'' \nu'' s'' l'' M_s' M_L' \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{\nu''} \langle s p l p, x; s l M_s M_L | F(\nu'') | s p l p, x; s' l' M_s' M_L' \rangle_{\text{d.s.p.}}$$

$$x \langle x^x \alpha^x \nu^x s l \{ | x'' \alpha'' \nu'' s' l' \rangle \} (x p s p l p), x; s l \rangle$$

$$x \langle x'' \alpha'' \nu'' s' l' \{ | x^x \alpha^x \nu^x s l \rangle \} (x p s p l p), x; s' l' \rangle$$

$$\nu p = \nu \pm 1 = \nu' \pm 1$$

For a two-electron operator such as

$$V = \sum_{i,j} 1/r_{ij}$$

$$\Delta \nu = 0, \pm 2, \pm 4.$$

e.g. p. 3

$$\begin{array}{ccc} s_{m3} & = & \delta_{m3} = 1 - 0 - 1 \\ s_w & & \gamma_w \quad \delta_w \end{array}$$

Number of states

1	1	1	1	0	+
1	1	1	1		
		$\frac{3}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{3}{2}$

The water $4P, 4D, 2S, 4F, 2F$ are



What states are families?

8 d

[illegible]

$$M_L = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ -3/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- Can also be done by using the group
proper tree of symmetries ~~with~~ ^{group with}
group (see Hymenium, Sect. 8-9).

Relativistic Formulations

In the absence of external perturbations, the Hamiltonian for one electron atom is

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(r) = + \left(\vec{p} \cdot \vec{p} \right)^2 + V(r)$$

$$\text{and } (\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A})(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}) = \vec{A} \cdot \vec{B} + i \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{A} \times \vec{B}$$

In the presence of an electromagnetic field, the canonical momentum is $\vec{\pi} = \vec{p} - \frac{e}{c} \vec{A}$, where $\vec{A}$ = vector potential.

$$\text{Then } H = + \left(\vec{\sigma} \cdot \frac{\vec{\pi}}{2m} \right)^2 + V(r)$$

$$= \frac{\pi^2}{2m} + \frac{i}{2m} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \frac{\vec{\pi}}{2} \times \frac{\vec{\pi}}{2} + V(r) = \frac{p^2}{2m} - \frac{1}{2m} \frac{e}{c} [\vec{A} \cdot \vec{p} + \vec{p} \cdot \vec{A}] + \mathcal{O}(A^2/c^2) + \mathcal{O}(c^{-3})$$

$$- \frac{e}{2m} i \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p} \times \vec{A} + \vec{A} \times \vec{p}) + V(r)$$

$$\frac{e}{2mc} \vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p} \times \vec{A} + \vec{A} \times \vec{p}) = \frac{e\hbar}{2mc} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} = \frac{e\hbar}{2mc} \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{B}$$

$\vec{B}$ = magnetic field.

Remark: We can always add $\nabla \phi(r)$ to $\vec{A}$ without physical consequences (gauge transformation) such that $\vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{A} = 0$ (Coulomb gauge or radiation gauge)

"When $H_{int} = -\frac{e}{2mc} [2\vec{A} \cdot \vec{p} + \hbar \vec{\sigma} \cdot \vec{H}]$

The above applies to an electron. For an ordinary particle, such as a nucleus,

$$H_{int} = -\frac{e}{2mc} [2q_L \vec{A} \cdot \vec{p} + \hbar q_S \vec{S} \cdot \vec{H}]$$

$q_S =$ spin g-factor such that the intrinsic magnetic moment is

$$\vec{\mu} = q_S \vec{S} \left(\frac{e\hbar}{2mc} \right) = \mu_B q_S \vec{S}$$

$q_S = 2$	$q_L = 1$
-----------	-----------

$\mu_B =$ Bohr magneton.

The Multipole Operators

We know what $\vec{A}$ is. The above multipole expansion for the vector potential $\vec{A}$ depending on a uniformly polarized plane wave: $\vec{A}_q(\vec{r}) = \vec{e}_q e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}}$ $\leftarrow$ depends on direction $\vec{k}$ and polarization $\vec{e}_q$.

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{LM} (g A_{LM}(\vec{r}) + A_{LM}^e(\vec{r})) \rho_{Mg}^L(R)$$

$R =$ rotation taking z-axis to direction $\vec{k}$ $\leftarrow$ defining z -axis in momentum space.

$$\vec{A}_{LM}^{(k)} = i^L (2L+1) i^L (k r)^L C_{LM}^L$$

and $A_{LM}^e = \frac{1}{r} \nabla \times A_{LM}^m$.

The above non multipole corresponds to a flux of $(ck/2\pi\hbar)$ photons/sec along direction $\vec{k}$.

Recall that

$$\tilde{A}_{LM} = \frac{1}{r} \nabla \phi_{LM}$$

$$\tilde{A}_{LM}^2 = \frac{1}{r} \nabla \times \tilde{L} \phi_{LM}$$

$$A_{LM}^2 = \frac{1}{r} \nabla \times \tilde{L} \phi_{LM}$$

$$\phi_{LM} = r^L (2L+1) y_L(r) C_{LM}(\theta, \phi)$$

In the long wave length approx.

$$y_L(r) \approx (kr)^L / (2L+1)!!$$

it can be shown that

$$\nabla \times L (r^L C_{LM}) = r^L (L+1) \nabla (r^L C_{LM})$$

$$\therefore \tilde{A}_{LM}^2 = \frac{1}{r} \nabla \times \tilde{L} \left(\frac{r^L (2L+1) (kr)^L}{(2L+1)!!} C_{LM} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{r} \nabla \times \tilde{L} \left(\frac{r^{2L+1}}{(2L+1)!!} \right) \nabla \left[(kr)^L C_{LM} \right]$$

$$\text{and } H_{int}^e = -e \frac{1}{mc} \left[\tilde{p} \cdot \tilde{A}^e + \tilde{A}^e \cdot \tilde{p} \right]$$

A = wave no. of incident radiation (Å^{-1})
 $\frac{A}{\hbar} = \omega$ (Å^{-1}) - frequency
 $\frac{\omega}{k} = c$ (velocity of light)

$$k = \frac{\omega}{c} \approx \frac{1}{137}$$

3) The matrix representation between states $|\alpha\rangle$ and $|\beta\rangle$ is proportional to square of matrix element

$$\langle \alpha | H_{int} | \beta \rangle \propto \langle \alpha | \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{\nabla} F + \vec{\nabla} F \cdot \vec{\nabla} | \beta \rangle$$

$$= \langle \beta | \vec{\nabla} F \cdot \vec{\nabla} | \alpha \rangle^* + \langle \alpha | \vec{\nabla} F \cdot \vec{\nabla} | \beta \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{i} \left[-\langle \beta | F \nabla^2 | \alpha \rangle^* + \langle \alpha | F \nabla^2 | \beta \rangle \right]$$

$$\text{But } F = r^2 C_{lm} - \frac{1}{2} \nabla^2 + V - E_B \quad \langle \beta | \beta \rangle = 0$$

integrate by parts.

$$\therefore \langle \alpha | \vec{r} \cdot \vec{\nabla} F + \vec{\nabla} F \cdot \vec{r} | \beta \rangle$$

velocity form

$$= \frac{1}{i} \omega_{\beta\alpha} \left(\frac{2m}{\hbar} \right) \langle \alpha | F | \beta \rangle$$

length form.

$$\omega_{\beta\alpha} = \frac{E_{\beta} - E_{\alpha}}{\hbar}$$

From electric dipole transitions,

$$\tilde{A} = e_{\beta} e_{\alpha} \approx e_{\beta}$$

$$\langle \alpha | H_{int} | \beta \rangle = -\frac{e}{2mc} \langle \alpha | 2 \hat{e}_{\beta} \cdot \vec{r} | \beta \rangle$$

$$H_{\text{int}} - \hat{e}_{\beta} \cdot \vec{r} H = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[\nabla^2 \hat{e}_{\beta} \cdot \vec{r} - \hat{e}_{\beta} \cdot \nabla^2 \right]$$

$$= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left[\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{d \hat{e}_{\beta}}{dr} \right) + 2 \nabla (\hat{e}_{\beta} \cdot \vec{r}) \cdot \nabla \right]$$

$$H \hat{e} \cdot \tilde{r} - \hat{e} \cdot \tilde{r} H = -\frac{m}{\hbar^2} \hat{e} \cdot \nabla$$

$$= -\frac{m}{\hbar^2} \hat{e} \cdot \vec{r}$$

$$\therefore \langle \alpha | H_{int}^2 | \beta \rangle = -\frac{m}{e} \langle \alpha | \hat{e} \cdot \vec{r} | \beta \rangle$$

$$= \frac{e}{m} \cdot \frac{m}{\hbar^2} \langle \alpha | H \hat{e} \cdot \vec{r} - \hat{e} \cdot \vec{r} H | \beta \rangle$$

$$= \frac{e}{m} \frac{1}{\hbar^2} (E_\alpha - E_\beta) \langle \alpha | \hat{e} \cdot \vec{r} | \beta \rangle$$

$$= \frac{e}{m} \omega_{\alpha\beta} \langle \alpha | \hat{e} \cdot \vec{r} | \beta \rangle$$